





APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/028,547	12/19/2001	Jay D. Kranzler	CYPR-023/01US 2444		
7	7590 08/27/2002				
Jim Nelson			EXAMINER		
Schwegman, L 1600 TCF Tov	undberg, Woessner & F ver	COOK, REBECCA			
121 South Eigl		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE			
Minneapolis, MN 55402			1614		
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2002 13		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
•		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/028,547		KRANZLER ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Rebecca Cook	ant with the ac	1614	draga			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)□ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .·						
2a)□ TI	nis action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final	•					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Cla	aim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□ Cla	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7)□ Cla	aim(s) is/are objected to.							
	aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requireme	nt.					
Application								
	specification is objected to by the Examiner	<u></u>	h. tha F					
	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)□ <i>A</i>	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			, , , ,				
1.[1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2.[2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>6.7</u>	5) 🗌 No	tice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PT	· · ———			

Application/Control Number: 10/028,547

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1 the term "and/or" is confusing. In re Anderegg 51 USPQ 66.

Claim 5 is confusing as to whether more than one adjunctive compound is required. Amending it to recite "an antidepressant, an analgesic, a muscle relaxant ..." will overcome this rejection is this is the intent of the applicant.

In claim 6 "amphetamine" is recited twice.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagaoka et al or WO 01/26623 alone or in view of 6,395,788 or CA133:232864. Nagaoka et al (abstract) and WO 01/26623 (abstract, page 1, among others) disclose that milnacipran is used to treat FMS and symptoms associated therewith. The dependent claims differ over the reference in excluding the use of an amino acid, adjunctively administering a second compound, and reciting dosage and dosage formulation.

However, no unobviousness is seen in excluding an amino acid or adjunctively administering a second compound. The amino acid, milnacipran and the second compound are taught to be useful to treat the same condition and in the absence of a

Application/Control Number: 10/028,547

Art Unit: 1614

showing of unexpected results no unobviousness is seen in either combining two compounds or omitting one compound, each of which is taught for the same use.

Additionally, once a method of use of a compound is known it is within the skill of the artisan to determine the dosage and dosage formulation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Cook whose telephone number is (703) 308-4724. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 5:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel, can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

REBECCA COOK PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1290-16/9

August 22, 2002